

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/043,947	01/11/2002	Dean Schaefer	612-07-CIP 7088		
7590 07/20/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Howard J. Klein			HO, UYEN T		
Klein & Szekeres, LLP Ste. 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4199 Campus Dr.			3731		
Irvine, CA 92612			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/043,947	SCHAEFER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	anuary 2002.					
,_	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Bureau		od III tillo Hattorial Otago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/11 and 4/12/02.	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/043,947

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/16/04 is acknowledged and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 14-25, 28-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ritchart et al. (4,994,069). Figure 2C of Ritchart et al. reference discloses a vaso-occlusive device as claimed (col. 4, line 45 to col. 6, line 36). Ritchart et al. also disclose a method of embolizing a vascular site including the steps as claimed (fig. 1, 2c, 7, 8A, col. 8, line 20 to col. 9, line 66). Note: The introductory statement of intended use and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Ritchart et al.'s device which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.
- 4. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobsen et al. (5,800,454). Jacobsen et al. disclose a vaso-occlusive device as claimed (Figure 3B). Jacobsen et al. also disclose a method of embolizing a

Art Unit: 3731

vascular site including the steps as claimed (Figure 1A, col. 2-3). Note: The introductory statement of intended use and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Jacobsen et al.'s device which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 6. Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 20-23, 31-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,605,101. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims contain the common subjects.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/043,947

Art Unit: 3731

number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on

MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on 703-308-0858.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

rampallele

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3731

July 16, 2004